

Selected learnings from the CLUSTER COOP Project

Danube Region Strategy

Cluster workshop

April 30, 2014









Overview

- Motivation for certification?
- Benefits compared to existing ones?
- Outlook









Motivation(1)

- Focus support programmes on strong clusters, but
 - What does strong mean
 - Cluster characteristics (PdC)
 - Cluster management (ESCA)
 - Cluster performance (HCDP)
 - and who is strong?









What does support mean?

- Eligibility to apply for funding (e.g. for European Strategic Cluster Partnerships)
- Direct support (PdC; German elite clusters)









Motivation(2)

- Ensure sustainable framework for SMEs and clusters
 - Can one trust clusters as a "channel" to reach SMEs?







Motivation(3)

From subsidy to investment









Motivation(4)

- Internationalisation
 - Who to co-operate with? Certification as a means to foster transparency, quality, and trust.







Motivation(5)

- Provide motivation and guidelines to learning
 - ESCA does benchmarking analyses and provides first recommendations
 - CLUSTER COOP: mutual learning arena; SAT







Context

- Ambition: Partners sought to create a simple yet effective means for creating effective framework conditions to facilitate cooperation between their clusters;
- The methodology also sought to help MS to idenitify critical issues that will underlie successful cluster cooperation;
- The CE cluster qualification system should not be considered as competing with other labels. It is rather a complementary approach to enhance cluster cooperation in CE countries and a stepping stone towards other methods;









Pilot study

- Analysis of European cluster qualification systems regarding:
 - Methodology
 - Indicators
 - Impact on cluster activities
- Benchmarking case studies:
 - European Secretariat for Cluster Analysis
 - French Competitiveness Clusters
 - Hungarian Pole Programme









Concept

- "ClusterCOOP label" granted to Central Europe clusters only.
- Clusters differentiated in three development stages:
 - Emerging cluster
 - Cluster under development
 - World-class cluster
- Cluster managers apply through electronic questionnaire.









Indicators (1)

- Territorial context, e.g.
 - GDP of the cluster territory
 - Proportion of domestic R&D investments in that GDP
- Nature of the cluster, e.g.
 - Technological field
 - Number of partners
 - Percentage of SMEs









Indicators (2)

- Cluster organisation, e.g.
 - Number of employees (FTEs)
 - Percentage of private source of funding
- Role of the cluster organisation, e.g.
 - Initiation and/or labelling of funded or not funded R&D projects per year
 - Participation of the cluster in international events
 - Number of thematic workshops and events organised with cluster members









Indicators (3)

- Performance of the cluster, e.g.
 - Number of R&D projects proposals awarded under support schemes
 - Number of patents from the cluster members
 - Growth of average revenue of SMEs since they are member of the cluster







Immediate Next Steps

- Support drive towards inter clustering and excellence by encouraging clusters to participate in CE Qualification System (QS)
- MS can review their own national cluster labelling, funding methods and tools and encourage use of CE QS as a prerequisite for supporting internationlisation and inter clustering actions
- And continue to support the engagement with other networks and labels such as ESCA to facilitate broader EU cluster collaboration.









Themes for future joint projects

- Clusters as institutionalised foresight drivers
- Clusters as engines of cross-sectoral innovation and business
- Assessment of sustainable impact of clusters





